15. MISCELLANEOUS

A. DSS PROFILE REPORT

Attached for your reference please find a copy of the 2012 Social Services profile report.

B. CORRESPONDENCE

Please find various items of correspondence attached.

C. NOTICES

Attached for your reference please find a couple of notices from the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality and the Virginia Department of Health.

DSS PROFILE REPORT

Local Department of Social Services Profile Report, 2012

Locality Name: Southampton **FIPS:** 175 **Region:** Eastern

Agency Level:[1] II (Two) **HR Policy:**[2] Non-Deviating **IT Support:**[3] Full **Agency Board:**[4] Administrative

[1] Refers to the local agency's level or size, varying from I (one) to III (three), with III being the largest.
[2] The local department's HR policy either completely deviates from VDSS policies ("Jurisdiction-wide"), does not vary ("Non-deviating"), or is a mix of VDSS and local policies ("Partial deviating").
[3] Refers to the local agency's level of IT support from VDSS.
[4] Refers to whether the local agency has an administrative or advisory board. For agencies with advisory boards, administrative entity is underlined.

Population, 2010	Southampton		Statewide	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Total Population	18,570		8,001,024	
By Age				
Children (0-19 years)	4,343	23%	2,083,685	26%
Adults (20 years and older)	14,227	77%	5,917,339	74%
By Race/Ethnicity				
White	11,215	60%	5,486,852	69%
Black or African American	6,916	37%	1,551,399	19%
Other race	439	2%	962,773	12%
Hispanic/Latino	203	1%	631,825	8%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census. "Other race" includes Asians, Hawaiians/Pacific Islanders, American Indians, Alaskan Natives, and people who report more than one race. Hispanic origin is not mutually exclusive from race.



Unemployment and Poverty Rate (% of population)	Southampton		Statewide	
	Unemployed	Poverty	Unemployed	Poverty
2000	NA	14.0%	NA	8.9%
2001	3.2%	13.1%	3.2%	8.8%
2002	4.2%	13.8%	4.2%	9.6%
2003	4.4%	14.0%	4.1%	9.9%
2004	4.2%	13.5%	3.7%	9.5%
2005	4.1%	15.1%	3.5%	10.0%
2006	3.6%	15.4%	3.0%	9.6%
2007	3.5%	15.0%	4.0%	9.9%
2008	4.8%	17.5%	3.9%	10.2%
2009	8.1%	15.9%	6.7%	10.6%
2010	9.6%	16.4%	6.9%	11.1%
2011	8.6%	NA	6.2%	NA

Source: Virginia Employment Commission (unemployment); U.S. Census Bureau, SAIPE (poverty).



15-2

Local Department of Social Services Profile Report, 2012

Locality Name: Southampton **FIPS:** 175 **Region:** Eastern

Recipients of Social Services, SFY2011	Total Recipients	Children (0-17 years)			Adults (18 years and older)		
		White	Black	Other race	White	Black	Other race
Public Assistance Programs							
Number of Participants, SFY2011							
SNAP	3,972	454	936	133	654	1,485	310
Medicaid	3,702	715	1,245	132	491	1,094	25
TANF	699	73	326	40	61	187	12
Participants - Percent of Subpopulation [1]							
SNAP		18%	60%	61%	8%	28%	100%
Medicaid		28%	80%	61%	6%	20%	11%
TANF		3%	21%	18%	1%	3%	5%
Child welfare							
Number, SFY2011							
Children in CPS referrals	107	51	51	5	NA	NA	NA
In foster care	8	4	4	0	NA	NA	NA
Receiving adoption assistance [2]	4	2	1	1	NA	NA	NA

Sources: VDSS, Data Warehouse, SFY ADAPT Client Analysis (public assistance programs). Foster Care counts are from VCWOR. Adoptions and CPS referral counts provided by Family Services Staff. Note: Receiving Adoption Assistance is the average of adoption cases continued from monthly reports in SFY 2010.

[1] Percentages are based on population estimates, which sometimes lead to percentages greater than 100%. Percentages are capped at 100%.

[2] Children as of December 1, 2011.

Trends in Public Assistance Caseloads by SFY	Number of Clients	
	SNAP	TANF
2005	2,811	598
2006	3,041	637
2007	3,172	632
2008	3,318	654
2009	3,549	663
2010	3,784	663
2011	3,972	699

Source: VDSS, Data Warehouse, ADAPT System Reporting, SFY Locality Program Analysis.



Compiled by the VDSS Office of Research and Planning. For more information, contact Gail.Jennings@dss.virginia.gov.

Local Department of Social Services Profile Report, 2012

Locality Name: Southampton **FIPS:** 175 **Region:** Eastern

Total Social Services Spending, SFY2011	Federal	State	Local*	Total
Administrative costs				
Eligibility staff and operations	$459,805	$311,560	$144,569	$915,934
Services staff and operations	$411,555	$170,064	$109,709	$691,328
Other expenses	$48,339	$0	$48,339	$96,679
Total administrative costs	$919,699	$481,624	$302,617	$1,703,941
Admin costs as percent of total	*5%*	*5%*	*58%*	*6%*
Services purchased for clients	$350,381	$67,127	$36,064	$453,571
Services as a percent of total	*2.0%*	*0.7%*	*7.0%*	*1.7%*
Client Benefits Spending				
Medicaid & FAMIS	$11,789,774	$7,893,443	$0	$19,683,216
SNAP	$4,138,883	$0	$0	$4,138,883
Energy Assistance	$287,921	$0	$0	$287,921
Foster care and adoption	$63,436	$401,975	$166,416	$631,827
TANF	$248,880	$162,889	$0	$411,769
Other Benefits	$0	$53,662	$13,416	$67,078
Total client benefits spending	$16,528,893	$8,511,969	$179,832	$25,220,695
Benefits as a percent of total	*93%*	*94%*	*35%*	*92%*
Total Social Services Spending	$17,798,974	$9,060,720	$518,513	$27,378,207
Percent by funding source	65%	33%	2%	100%

Source: VDSS, LASER, annual financial statements. "Local" includes expenses that are not eligible for reimbursement.





Total Social Services Spending = $27,378,207

Social Services Staffing	Number of Positions							% of Total Positions
	Eligibility - Direct	Eligibility- Indirect	Services - Direct	Services - Indirect	Other Indirect	NER	TOTAL	
Filled Positions	10	1	8	1	7	2	29	78%
Unfilled Positions	2	0	2	0	2	2	8	22%
Total Positions	12	1	10	1	9	4	37	100%
Percent of Positions Unfilled	17%	0%	20%	0%	22%	50%	22%	
Pct. of Positions Unfilled-Statewide	16%	14%	19%	10%	22%	22%	18%	

Source: LETS, Position Reimbursement And Status Report (as of 3/31/2012). Staffing data (unduplicated counts) are reported. Invalid filled positions are excluded. NER= Not eligible for reimbursement.

Local Department of Social Services Profile Report, 2012

Locality Name: Southampton **FIPS:** 175 **Region:** Eastern

Non-Marital Births, 2010	Southampton		Statewide	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total	61	42.4	36,532	35.5
White	23	26.1	19,311	27.8
Black	37	67.3	14,885	66.3
Other race	1	100.0	2,336	21.3

Source: Virginia Department of Health, Division of Health Statistics. Based on 2010 records of live births among women aged 15-44 years.

Percent of Couples who are Married vs. Cohabiting, 2010	Southampton		Statewide
	Number	Percent	Percent
Married	3,501	92%	91%
Unmarried	303	8%	9%
Married with own children	1,235	92%	92%
Unmarried with own children	113	8%	8%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census, Summary File 1 (Table PCT15). Excludes same-sex couples.





Compiled by the VDSS Office of Research and Planning. For more information, contact Gail.Jennings@dss.virginia.gov.

CORRESPONDENCE

RAWLS
MUSEUM
ARTS

A Partner of the Virginia Museum of Fine Arts

RECEIVED MAY 14 2012

May 10, 2012

Mr. Michael Johnson
County Administrator
Southampton County Administrative Offices
Courtland, Virginia 23837

Dear Mr. Johnson:

On behalf of the Rawls Museum Arts we would like to express our sincere appreciation for funds for the fiscal year 2011-2012. Please relay our appreciation to the Board of Supervisors. We are grateful to have the support of Southampton County and the Board of Supervisors. Thank you sincerely for keeping the arts and education a top priority in Southampton County.

Sincerely,

Thank you!

Leigh Anne

Leigh Anne Chambers, Executive Director

22376 Linden Street
Courtland, Virginia 23837
(757) 653-0754
Fax: (757) 653-0341
www.rawlsarts.com
email: leighAnne@rawlsarts.com

RECEIVED MAY - 9 2012

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

TIDEWATER REGIONAL OFFICE
5636 Southern Boulevard, Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462
(757) 518-2000 Fax (757) 518-2009
www.deq.virginia.gov

Doug Domenech
Secretary of Natural Resources

David K. Paylor
Director

Maria R. Nold
Regional Director

May 8, 2012

Mr. Mike Johnson
P.O. Box 400
Courtland, Virginia 23837

RE: Virginia Electric and Power Company, Dominion – Southampton Power Station
Biomass Conversion Project
Registration No.: 61093

Dear Mr. Johnson:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to questions and comments brought forth in the public comment period for the referenced facility, and to advise you of the Director's decision to modify the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit for Dominion's Southampton Power Station located at 30134 General Thomas Highway, Franklin, Virginia.

As required by 9 VAC 5-80-1775, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) placed an advertisement in the *Tidewater News* on March 16, 2012. The public comment period ended on April 30, 2012. The public hearing was held at Paul D. Camp Community College; Franklin Campus, Room 129, located at 100 North College Drive in Franklin, Virginia 23851 on Monday, April 16, 2012 from 7:00pm to 8:00pm.

The advertisement regarding the draft permit modification indicated the purpose of the public comment period and public hearing was to solicit comments regarding the proposed modification. A public response document (PRD) has been developed to collectively address the comments that were received at the public hearing and in writing during the public comment period. A copy of this document is attached to this letter.

Thank you for your participation in the permitting process. Your concern for Virginia's air quality is appreciated. If the DEQ may be of any further assistance in understanding the permit or permitting process, please do not hesitate to contact me at 757-518-2006 or Ms. Kelly Giles at 757-518-2155.

Sincerely,

Troy D. Breathwaite
Regional Air Permits Manager

VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
PUBLIC RESPONSE DOCUMENT

Dominion Southampton Power Station
Biomass Conversion Project
30134 General Thomas Highway, Franklin, Virginia
2012 Proposed PSD Permit – Registration No. 60193
Summary of Public Comments and DEQ Responses
For Public Comment Period Ending April 30, 2012

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ACTIVITIES

A public notice regarding the proposed permit, which notified the local community about the public comment period and public hearing, was published by DEQ in the *Tidewater News* on March 16, 2012. The public comment period closed on April 30, 2012. A public hearing was held at the Paul D. Camp Community College; Franklin Campus on April 16, 2012 at 7:00 pm. Fourteen individuals signed the attendance record for the hearing.

This document may present summaries of the comments received and does not necessarily quote any original comment.

DEQ RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

1. **Comment: The truck traffic on Shady Brook Trail to deliver the biomass will create unsafe conditions for the residents.**
   (Two individuals spoke on this issue during the public hearing.)

   DEQ Response: The Commonwealth of Virginia's Regulations for the Control and Abatement of Air Pollution (the Regulations) provide only for the regulation of air pollutant emissions from stationary sources. Emissions from fuel combustion in mobile sources associated with any facility – whether trucks, trains, or ships – are not currently covered by the Regulations. Further, the Regulations do not pertain to issues of public safety beyond those associated with emitted air pollutants.

2. **Comment: We support the many benefits of this project.**
   (Three individuals spoke in support of the project during the public hearing, including a representative from Dominion, plus one email submittal after the hearing.)

   DEQ Response: The public participation process is designed to solicit input from all concerned citizens. DEQ appreciates comments in support of the project as well as comments expressing air quality concerns.

3. **<u>Comment</u>: The public notice states "the maximum annual emissions of air pollutants from the facility are expected to be 115.3 tons/yr of particulate matter (PM). Then it states 102.9 tons/yr of PM-10 and 98.0 tons/yr of PM-2.5. My question: These two alone are over 200 tons/yr of PM. Are the figures given in the hand out on 4/16/2012 the total weight expected to be produced by the boilers or what come out of the stack?"**
(Received by email on 4/17/2012)

   <u>DEQ Response:</u> The emissions listed are the controlled emissions, so it is what is coming out of the stack. It is also important to clarify that PM-10 and PM-2.5 are not cumulative and that each are subspecies of Total Particulate Matter.
   (Response sent by email on 4/18/2012)

4. **<u>Comment</u>: "...There have been fires that were not reported to the Richmond Dominion office..." "I find that the self reporting aspect of this plan is flawed in that the integrity of the persons in charge of the facility have not been reporting internally so I question their ability to report to the DEQ accurately." "There have been other occasions where the odor of a fuel type substance radiated from the plant...for at least a radius of 3 miles. Each time the plant was contacted, they didn't have any problems."**
(Received by email on 4/25/2012)

   <u>DEQ Response</u>: A review of the files shows no reported complaints to the DEQ regarding the fire or odor issues you mention. Regarding the accuracy of reporting to DEQ, the nature of our air compliance program provides for some level of self-monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting in addition to periodic on-site DEQ inspections. Among other methods, compliance is determined based on certified testing by a third party, instruments including continuous emission monitors (CEMs) which are installed, calibrated and maintained in accordance with DEQ and federal procedures, and the CEMs data is collected by computerized data acquisition and handling systems. DEQ air compliance staff review all facility monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting records to ensure adherence to the permitted limits and applicable regulations. In the future, should you have complaints or concerns, please contact Mr. John Brandt, Tidewater Regional Office (TRO) Air Compliance and Enforcement Manager (757-518-2010) or the air inspector for the Southampton Power Station, Mr. David Sellers (757-518-2113).
   (Response sent by email on 5/4/2012)

5. **<u>Comment</u>: "I find it amazing that Mr. Johnson, the economical development representative and even the Dominion rep. states that this would reduce the hazardous emissions that coal burning plants would cause and make the air less polluted but they said the same thing when the coal burning plant was on the agenda."**
(Received by email on 4/25/2012)

   <u>DEQ Response</u>: The conversion from coal to biomass will result in an overall decrease in annual emissions of hazardous air pollutants from the boilers of the Southampton Power Station.
   (Response sent by email on 5/4/2012)

6. **Comment: "The initial meeting on this plant alluded to the fact that there would be on site chipping operations and now they say there will not be, so there is question of the noise effect for those of us who reside on Delaware Road. There is also a question of buffers for noise. Also what are the prevailing winds for that area? Where should these questions be referred?"**
   (Received by email on 4/25/2012)

   DEQ Response: The application submitted by Dominion for this project states "...biomass will be chipped off-site and delivered to the Station by truck..." Therefore wood chippers were not included in the air quality impact evaluation and are not approved as part of the project. Noise from wood chipping equipment is not a factor since this activity will not occur on-site. It should also be noted that noise impacts are not covered under the Regulations. The evaluation of noise impacts is governed by local noise ordinances. According to the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) in Asheville, NC, prevailing winds are from the southwest in Norfolk; from the south in Richmond; and from the southwest in Raleigh.
   (Response sent by email on 5/4/2012)

7. **Comment: "From the standpoint of the DEQ, I am amazed that you have not physically been to the location of the request and were less than 4 miles from the plant when you were in Franklin for the hearing. In reality you cannot see the impact on any area without visibly reviewing the site."**
   (Received by email on 4/25/2012)

   DEQ Response: DEQ air inspectors/compliance staff from the Tidewater Regional Office routinely visit the facility for periodic on-site inspections and were consulted during the permitting process.
   (Response sent by email on 5/4/2012)

RECOMMENDATION

After careful review of the technical and regulatory documents along with the public comments received, DEQ supports approval of the proposed permit. DEQ staff has concluded that the facility, when operated within permit limits, will comply with all applicable air quality regulatory requirements.

SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY

26022 Administration Center Drive
P. O. Box 400
Courtland, Virginia 23837

757-653-3015
Fax: 757-653-0227

May 7, 2012

Mr. Merrell Carr, Jr.
President
Ivor Volunteer Rescue Squad
P. O. Box 228
Ivor, VA 23866

RE: Capital Improvement Funding

Dear Mr. Carr:

Enclosed herewith please find remittance in the sum of Twenty-Eight Thousand Dollars ($28,000.00) which represents your squad's allocable share of capital funding set aside by the Board of Supervisors for fire and rescue in FY's 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012.

Your request received on April 12, 2012 was approved by the Board of Supervisors in regular session on April 23, 2012. On behalf of the Board of Supervisors, Please accept my best wishes for your purchase and use of two LIFEPAK 15 monitor/defibrillators.

With kind personal regards, I remain

Very truly yours,

Michael W. Johnson
County Administrator

RECEIVED APR 3 0 2012

April 26, 2012

Dear Southampton County Board of Supervisors, School Board and Administration:

As a business owner in this community and a parent of children in the public school system, I ask that you understand and appreciate my reason for remaining anonymous. I do not want my opinions to negatively affect my business or my children. I have tried to refrain from vocalizing my concerns; however, I am worried about the future of this community and our children. I respectfully ask you to consider the following in order to better manage the reduction of funding:

1. Seriously research and pursue **consolidating resources** between the Franklin and Southampton County school systems. Please, I beg you to leave past grievances between the city and county behind us and move forward in the best interest of our future generation. The School Board and Administration will not be fulfilling their obligations if they do not join forces to provide the best education possible for the children of this community. I know you are trying your best, but these children are our future employees, business owners, government officials and decision makers. I have personally reviewed resumes, interviewed and employed graduates from all schools systems, so I feel justified in saying we cannot sit idle and pretend to have the best school systems and think there is no room for improvement. Very few will admit it or dare speak of it, but we will not be able to attract new businesses/industries to our area with our current rankings and workforce.

2. Instead of eliminating teacher and teacher assistant positions who have direct contact and impact on the education and learning environment of our children, please consider a **reduction in "high-paying" administrative salaries or positions**. As owners of a business in this struggling economy – we too had to make the hard decisions you face. Please stop tearing at the heart strings of this community by threatening to cut teacher positions and the lowest paid employees of the school system. Trust me, I bring home 1/3 of our Superintendent's salary and I live comfortably in this community. A reduction in pay will not be detrimental to their comfortable lifestyle versus a teacher losing their job. Nor will it have the same impact as a teacher who will be faced with teaching 26+ young children with varying skill levels everyday without any assistance. Our teachers not only deserve more respect from the administration, students and parents but also a voice. The government and school systems have taken away their right to discipline, teach creatively, share ideas/opinions, but instead have completely overwhelmed them with paperwork, larger classrooms, and SOL testing.

Please be responsible stewards of our tax dollars and think about the needs of our children. We all know children have varying degrees of abilities. We have to make sure our school systems have the necessary resources to: 1) challenge the gifted and 2) provide extra attention/instruction to those who struggle. We cannot let any of our children falter or deny them the opportunity to reach their full potential because of past grievances or greed. Nor can the Board of Supervisors blindly turn their backs on the needs of the school systems. I do not ask the Board of Supervisors to hand over a blank check to the school system, but before you vehemently refuse to raise taxes no matter what, please make sure you are fully aware of what is at stake if our children are not properly educated. Please visit our school systems if you have not already done so. Please explore other opportunities for cutting costs such as sharing or consolidating services with the City of Franklin.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

A property & business owner in Southampton County (as well as a property owner in Franklin) – who views Franklin & Southampton County as ONE community.

RECEIVED APR 2 4 2012

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
TIDEWATER REGIONAL OFFICE
5636 Southern Boulevard, Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462
(757) 518-2000 Fax (757) 518-2009
www.deq.virginia.gov

Doug Domenech
Secretary of Natural Resources

David K. Paylor
Director

Maria R. Nold
Regional Director

April 23, 2012

Southampton County Virginia
Attn: Jon Mendenhall
Assistant County Administrator
26022 Administration Center Drive
Courtland, VA 23837

Re: Underground Storage Tank (UST) Closure Report for – (old) Ivor Elementary School, 7486 Proctors Bridge Rd., Ivor, VA DEQ # 5001479

Dear Mr. Mendenhall:

Thank you for submitting the UST closure report and notification form for the above referenced facility to the Department of Environmental Quality.

Based upon the information you have provided regarding current site conditions and / or soil sampling analysis, this site does not warrant further assessment. Please note that should future environmental problems occur, which the DEQ determines are related to the tank(s) closed at this site, additional investigation and corrective action may be required in accordance with State Law.

If you have any questions concerning this closure or need additional information, please contact me at (757) 518-2115 or by e-mail at *tom.madigan@deq.virginia.gov*

Sincerely,

Tom Madigan
Petroleum Storage Tank Compliance &
Pollution Response Program Supervisor

cc: File 5001479

NOTICES

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR DRINKING WATER

Town of Courtland Waterworks Has Levels of Fluoride Above Drinking Water Standards

Our water system recently violated a drinking water standard. Although this is not an emergency, as our customers, you have a right to know what happened, what you should do, and what we are doing to correct this situation.

We routinely monitor for the presence of drinking water contaminants. Test results of the quarterly water samples taken at the entry point of the Town of Courtland waterworks during the compliance period of April 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012 indicate that the running annual average fluoride concentration calculated at 4.73 mg/L exceeds the PMCL for fluoride of 4.0 mg/L.

What should I do?

Children under the age of nine should use an alternative source of water that is low in fluoride. In addition, you may want to consult your dentist about whether to avoid dental products containing fluoride. Adults and children over age nine should consult their dentist or doctor and show him/her this notice to determine if an alternate source of water low in fluoride should be used.

What does this mean?

This is not an emergency. If it had been, you would have been notified immediately. Fluoride in small amounts helps prevent tooth decay. However, *some people who drink water containing fluoride in excess of the MCL over many years could get bone disease, including pain and tenderness of the bones. Fluoride in drinking water at half the MCL or greater may cause mottling of children's teeth, usually in children less than nine years old. Mottling, also known as dental fluorosis, may include brown staining and/or pitting of the permanent teeth. This problem occurs only in developing teeth, before they erupt from the gums.* Although it takes many years of exposure to fluoride for bone disease to develop, mottling can occur after a relatively short period of exposure.

What happened? What is being done?

Fluoride contamination is rarely due to human activity. Fluoride occurs naturally in some areas and is found in high concentrations in our source water. The Town of Courtland has entered into a voluntary binding agreement known as a Consent Order with the Virginia Department of Health. The Order contains a compliance schedule to resolve the ongoing exceedance of the fluoride PMCL standard. The Town of Courtland has met all milestones and deadlines established in the Order so far.

For more information, please contact Mayor Danny Williams at 757-653-2222 or 22219 Meherrin Road, P. O. Box 39, Courtland, VA 23837.

Please share this information with all the other people who drink this water, especially those who may not have received this notice directly (for example, people in apartments, nursing homes, schools, and businesses). You can do this by posting this notice in a public place or distributing copies by hand or mail.

This notice is being sent to you by Town of Courtland

State Water System ID#: 3175220 Date distributed: 5-4-12

RECEIVED MAY 14 2012

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Karen Remley, MD, MBA, FAAP
State Health Commissioner

J.Wesley Kleene, PhD, PE
Director, Office of Drinking Water

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
OFFICE OF DRINKING WATER
Southeast Virginia Field Office

830 Southampton Avenue
Suite 2058
Norfolk, VA 23510
Phone (757) 683-2000
Fax (757) 683-2007

MAY 10 2012

SUBJECT: SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
Water: VDOT-Franklin Residency Complex
PWSID No. 3175859

Mr. Joseph Lomax, Resident Engineer
Virginia Department of Transportation
23116 Meherrin Road
Courtland, VA 23837

Dear Mr. Lomax:

On January 25, 2012, I conducted a Sanitary Survey of the subject waterworks. A copy of the report was submitted to Mr. Michael Fried on February 6, 2012. The report identified sampling requirements that are required as well as several administrative items which needed to be addressed. As of this date, we have not received the items identified in the report. These items are required in order to obtain a permit for the waterworks.

Section 12 VAC5-590 of the *Waterworks Regulations* states in part that no owner or other person shall cause or allow the construction or change in the manner of transmission, storage, purification, treatment, or distribution of water (including the extension of water pipes for the distribution of water) at any waterworks or water supply without a written construction permit from the commissioner. Furthermore, no owner or other person shall cause or permit any waterworks or water supply to be operated without a written operation permit issued by the commissioner which authorizes the operation of the waterworks or water supply. Conditions may be imposed on the issuance of any permit, and no waterworks or water supply may be constructed, modified, or operated in violation of these conditions.

Please be advised that this waterworks is classified as a Class VI waterworks (serves less than 400 persons). Therefore, a Class VI waterworks operator is required (responsible charge). In Virginia, a *Waterworks Operator* is any individual who is in responsible charge of a public water supply system. Generally, all community and nontransient water systems are required to have at least one licensed waterworks operator. Waterworks Operator Licenses are issued by **the Board for Waterworks and Wastewater Works Operators,** which is part of the Virginia Department of Professional and Occupational Regulation (DPOR). That Board's **regulations** prescribe the licensure program and requirements. The Health Department is *not* involved with issuing licenses or giving examinations.

Please make plans either to have someone on your staff licensed, or to contract a licensed operator.

The waterworks is required to submit for review and approval a Bacteriological Sample Siting Report/Triggered Source Monitoring Plan. A blank plan was included with the report. The bacteriological samples must be collected from the distribution system and not from the well source. (12 VAC 5-590-380)

A Cross Connection Control Program must be submitted to this office for review and approval. A blank plan has previously been provided. The plan should be completed and returned to this office for review and approval.

RECEIVED MAY - 8 2012

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Karen Remley, MD, MBA, FAAP
State Health Commissioner

J.Wesley Kleene, PhD, PE
Director, Office of Drinking Water

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

OFFICE OF DRINKING WATER

Southeast Virginia Field Office

830 Southampton Avenue
Suite 2058
Norfolk, VA 23510
Phone (757) 683-2000
Fax (757) 683-2007

MAY 0 2 2012

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SUBJECT: SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
Water - Agri-Business Industrial Park
PWSID No. 3175007

Mr. Michael Smith
Deputy Director of Public Utilities
Southampton County
17287 Pitmann Road
Boykins, VA 23827

Dear Mr. Smith:

This notice is to advise that the subject waterworks appears to be in violation of §12 VAC 5-590-421 of the Commonwealth of Virginia *Waterworks Regulations* for failure to complete daily monitoring of the chlorine residual disinfectant concentration at the entry point to the distribution system at the subject waterworks. The *Regulations* requires that a waterworks providing 4-log virus inactivation treatment must verify adequate treatment through daily monitoring of the residual disinfectant concentration.

By letter dated November 30, 2009, this Office approved the subject waterworks for 4-log virus inactivation and notified you of the minimum residual chlorine concentration and associated monitoring requirements. The subject waterworks' monthly operation report for March 2012 indicates the minimal residual required to achieve 4 log virus inactivation was not monitored for on the following dates: 10th, 11th, 17th and 18th, 2012.

Required Actions

Public Notice: Section §12 VAC 5-590-540 of the *Waterworks Regulations* requires you to notify consumers that the prescribed monitoring was not performed, as follows:

- You must provide notice to consumers within one year of receipt of this letter.
- Your notice to consumers must be posted in conspicuous locations throughout the area served by your waterworks, or directly delivered to the persons served by your waterworks.
- Posted notices must be readable and be protected by glass, plastic, or other suitable covering. Posted notices must be posted for a minimum of seven days even if the violation has been resolved, and must remain in place as long as the violation persists.

RECEIVED MAY - 4 2012

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
PIEDMONT REGIONAL OFFICE
4949A Cox Road, Glen Allen, Virginia 23060
(804) 527-5020 Fax (804) 527-5106
www.deq.virginia.gov

Doug Domenech
Secretary of Natural Resources

David K. Paylor
Director

Michael P. Murphy
Regional Director
Director

May 3, 2012

Mr. Michael W. Johnson
County Administrator
Southampton County
P.O. Box 400
Courtland, Virginia 23837

Dear Mr. Johnson:

The Department of Environmental Quality has received an application to withdraw ground water in the Eastern Virginia Ground Water Management Area. Part V of the Virginia Ground Water Withdrawal Regulation requires that the Department of Environmental Quality send a notice of each draft permit to each local governing body located within the ground water management area where the proposed withdrawal will occur.

In accordance with this section, please find the enclosed notice that was advertised in the Tidewater Review on Wednesday May 2, 2012. Additional information on the permit application may be obtained by contacting me.

The Department will accept comments concerning the proposed issuance of this draft permit for thirty days. All comments must be received by 4 p.m. on June 1, 2012.

Sincerely,

C. Erinn Tisdale
Ground Water Permits

Enclosure

Public Notice – Environmental Permit

PURPOSE OF NOTICE: To seek public comment on a draft permit from the Department of Environmental Quality for the withdrawal of ground water in New Kent County, Virginia.
PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD: May 2 2012 to June 1, 2012.
PERMIT NAME: Ground Water Withdrawal Permit issued by DEQ, under the authority of the State Water Control Board
APPLICANT NAME, ADDRESS AND PERMIT NUMBER: Rockahock Campgrounds, Inc.; P.O. Box 168, Lanexa, Virginia 23089: GW0009900
NAME AND LOCATION OF WATER WITHDRAWAL: Rockahock Campground; 1428 Outpost Road, Lanexa, Virginia 23089
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Rockahock Campgrounds, Inc. has applied for a new permit for the Rockahock Campground in New Kent County, Virginia. The permit would allow the applicant to withdraw an average of 27,397 gallons per day. The ground water withdrawal will support the existing mobile home park and campground facilities and the planned expansion of the facility. The proposed withdrawal will utilize the Chickahominy Piney Point aquifer at a depth between 70 feet to 130 feet below the land surface at the withdrawal site. An aquifer is a body of rock or layer of sediment in the ground in which ground water is stored and transported. DEQ has made a tentative decision to issue the permit.
Affected Area: The radial distance from the well to where computer modeling predicts the aquifers may experience one foot of drawdown due to the withdrawal does not extend beyond the applicant's property.

HOW TO COMMENT AND OR REQUEST A PUBLIC HEARING: DEQ accepts comments and requests for public hearing by e-mail, fax or postal mail. All comments and requests must be in writing and be received by DEQ during the comment period. Submittals must include the names, mailing addresses and telephone numbers of the commenter/requester and of all persons represented by the commenter/requester. A request for public hearing must also include: 1) The reason why a public hearing is requested. 2) A brief, informal statement regarding the nature and extent of the interest of the requester or of those represented by the requestor, including how and to what extent such interest would be directly and adversely affected by the permit. 3) Specific references, where possible, to terms and conditions of the permit with suggested revisions. DEQ may hold a public hearing, including another comment period, if public response is significant and there are substantial, disputed issues relevant to the proposed permit. CONTACT FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS, DOCUMENT REQUESTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Erinn Tisdale; DEQ Piedmont Regional Office, 4949-A Cox Road, Glen Allen, Virginia, 23060; Phone: (804)-527-5068; E-mail: C.Erinn.Tisdale@deq.virginia.gov; FAX: (804)-527-5106.
The public may review the draft permit and application at the DEQ office named above {by appointment} or may request copies of the documents from the contact person listed above.

CA

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Karen Remley, MD, MBA, FAAP
State Health Commissioner

J.Wesley Kleene, PhD, PE
Director, Office of Drinking Water

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

OFFICE OF DRINKING WATER

Southeast Virginia Field Office

830 Southampton Avenue
Suite 2058
Norfolk, VA 23510
Phone (757) 683-2000
Fax (757) 683-2007

MAY 0 2 2012

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

SUBJECT: SOUTHAMPTON COUNTY
Water - Edgehill Subdivision
PWSID No. 3175340

Mr. Michael Smith
Deputy Director of Public Utilities
Southampton County
17287 Pitmann Road
Boykins, VA 23827

Dear Mr. Smith:

This notice is to advise that the subject waterworks appears to be in violation of §12 VAC 5-590-421 of the Commonwealth of Virginia *Waterworks Regulations* for failure to complete daily monitoring of the chlorine residual disinfectant concentration at the entry point to the distribution system at the subject waterworks. The *Regulations* requires that a waterworks providing 4-log virus inactivation treatment must verify adequate treatment through daily monitoring of the residual disinfectant concentration.

By letter dated November 30, 2009, this Office approved the subject waterworks for 4-log virus inactivation and notified you of the minimum residual chlorine concentration and associated monitoring requirements. The subject waterworks' monthly operation report for March 2012 indicate the minimal residual required to achieve 4 log virus inactivation was not monitored for on the following dates: March 10th, 11th, 17th, and 18th, 2012 .

Required Actions

Public Notice: The *Waterworks Regulations* characterizes this as a situation that requires Tier 3 public notification. According to § 12 VAC 5-590-540, you are required to notify consumers that the prescribed monitoring was not performed, as follows:

- You must provide notice to consumers no later than one year following receipt of this notice.
- Your notice to customers must be mailed or directly delivered to each customer receiving a bill, and to other service connections served by your waterworks.

RECEIVED APR 1 8 2012

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
TIDEWATER REGIONAL OFFICE
5636 Southern Boulevard, Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462
(757) 518-2000 Fax (757) 518-2009
www.deq.virginia.gov

Doug Domenech
Secretary of Natural Resources

David K. Paylor
Director

Maria R. Nold
Regional Director

April 17, 2012

Mr. Michael W. Johnson
County Administrator
Southampton County
P.O. Box 400
Courtland, Virginia 23837

Dear Mr. Johnson:

The Department of Environmental Quality has received an application to withdraw ground water in the Eastern Virginia Ground Water Management Area. Part V of the Virginia Ground Water Withdrawal Regulation requires that the Department of Environmental Quality send a notice of each draft permit to each local governing body located within the ground water management area where the proposed withdrawal will occur.

In accordance with this section, please find the enclosed notice that will be advertised in The Tidewater News on Sunday April 22, 2012. Additional information on the permit application may be obtained by contacting me.

The Department will accept comments concerning the proposed issuance of this draft permit for thirty days. All comments must be received by 4 p.m. on May 22, 2012.

Sincerely,

Brenda L. Brown

Brenda L. Brown
Ground Water Permit Writer

Enclosure

Public Notice – Environmental Permit

PURPOSE OF NOTICE: To seek public comment on a draft permit from the Department of Environmental Quality for the withdrawal of ground water in Southampton County, Virginia.
PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD: April 22, 2012 to May 22, 2012
PERMIT NAME: Ground Water Withdrawal Permit issued by DEQ, under the authority of the State Water Control Board
APPLICANT NAME, ADDRESS AND PERMIT NUMBER: Southampton County Board, 26022 Administration Center Drive, Courtland, Virginia, 23837; GW0039601
NAME AND LOCATION OF WATER WITHDRAWAL: Drewryville System, 23019 Drewry Road, Drewryville, Virginia, 23844
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Southampton County has applied for reissuance of a permit for Drewryville System in Drewryville, Virginia. The permit would allow the applicant to withdraw an average of 16,164 gallons per day. The ground water withdrawal will be used to provide public water supply to the Town of Drewryville. The proposed withdrawal will utilize the Middle Potomac aquifer at a depth between 128 feet to 295 feet below the land surface at the withdrawal site. An aquifer is a body of rock or layer of sediment in the ground in which ground water is stored and transported. DEQ has made a tentative decision to issue the permit.
AFFECTED AREA: Computer modeling predicts that the aquifer will not experience one foot of drawdown due to the withdrawal.
HOW TO COMMENT AND OR REQUEST A PUBLIC HEARING: DEQ accepts comments and requests for public hearing by e-mail, fax or postal mail. All comments and requests must be in writing and be received by DEQ during the comment period. Submittals must include the names, mailing addresses and telephone numbers of the commenter/requester and of all persons represented by the commenter/requester. A request for public hearing must also include: 1) The reason why a public hearing is requested. 2) A brief, informal statement regarding the nature and extent of the interest of the requester or of those represented by the requestor, including how and to what extent such interest would be directly and adversely affected by the permit. 3) Specific references, where possible, to terms and conditions of the permit with suggested revisions. DEQ may hold a public hearing, including another comment period, if public response is significant and there are substantial, disputed issues relevant to the proposed permit. CONTACT FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS, DOCUMENT REQUESTS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Brenda Brown; Tidewater Regional Office, 5636 Southern Blvd., Virginia Beach, VA, 23462; Phone: (757) 518-2188; E-mail: brenda.brown@deq.virginia.gov; FAX: (757) 518-2009. The public may review the draft permit and application at the DEQ office named above by appointment.