

At a regular meeting of the Southampton County Board of Supervisors held in the Board Room of the Southampton County Office Center, 26022 Administrative Center Drive, Courtland, Virginia on February 25, 2008 at 8:30 AM.

SUPERVISORS PRESENT

Dallas O. Jones, Chairman (Drewryville)
Walter L. Young, Jr., Vice-Chairman (Franklin)
Walter D. Brown, III (Newsoms)
Carl J. Faison (Boykins-Branchville)
Anita T. Felts (Jerusalem)
Ronald M. West (Berlin-Ivor)
Moses Wyche (Capron)

SUPERVISORS ABSENT

None

OTHERS PRESENT

Michael W. Johnson, County Administrator (Clerk)
Julia G. Williams, Finance Director
Robert L. Barnett, Director of Community Development
Julien W. Johnson, Jr. Public Utilities Director
Richard E. Railey, Jr., County Attorney
Susan H. Wright, Administrative Secretary

Chairman Jones called the meeting to order, and after the *Pledge of Allegiance*, Supervisor Faison gave the invocation.

Mr. Johnson, County Administrator, announced that included in the agenda was a resolution of appreciation for Mr. John R. Harrup, who retired on December 31, 2007 with 13 years of service to the people of Southampton County. The Board adopted the resolution last month and Mr. Harrup was present this morning.

Mr. Johnson read aloud the following resolution:

WHEREAS, John R. Harrup served the citizens of Southampton County as the Commissioner of the Revenue for more than 13 years, having been first elected at a special election in November 1994 and subsequently reelected for three additional four-year terms; and

WHEREAS, John R. Harrup has worked diligently and tirelessly to fairly and accurately assess the property and taxes in Southampton County over the past 13 years; and

WHEREAS, John R. Harrup has effectively implemented and administered numerous new programs and initiatives during his tenure including the Personal Property Tax Relief Act, the Land Use Value Assessment Program, Exemptions for Certain Elderly and Disabled Persons, and Prorations of the Personal Property Tax; and

WHEREAS, John R. Harrup has dedicated himself to career and professional development, obtaining the State Commissioner of the Revenue Certification from the Weldon Cooper Center for Public Service at the University of Virginia; and

WHEREAS, John R. Harrup was an active member of the Virginia Commissioner of the Revenue Association, serving as President of the Central District in 2002; and

WHEREAS, John R. Harrup devoted countless hours of personal time and energy in representing the people of Southampton County; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County, Virginia that **John R. Harrup** is recognized and commended for his generous and devoted service to the people of Southampton County and is presented this resolution as visual representation of the high esteem in which he is held; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution be spread upon the minutes of the Board on the twenty-eighth day of January, 2008, recording and forever preserving its gratitude and appreciation.

Adopted and presented January 28, 2008
Board of Supervisors

Dallas O. Jones, Chairman

Michael W. Johnson, Clerk

Chairman Jones presented a framed copy of the resolution to Mr. Harrup. He thanked him for his service and advised that the Board had enjoyed working with him over the years.

Mr. Harrup thanked the Board and stated that he had enjoyed working with the Board and serving the citizens of Southampton County.

Chairman Jones sought approval of the minutes of the January 15, 2008 mini retreat and January 28, 2008 regular meeting. They were both approved as presented, as there were no additions or corrections.

Moving forward, Mr. Johnson announced that the Honorable Judge Westbrook J. Parker had requested a few moments to share some of his concerns regarding security at the Southampton Courthouse. Improved security was long overdue, but would come with a price, including capital improvements and increased staffing levels. As we prepared to enter the FY 2009 budget season, the subject was an appropriate one for consideration and discussion.

Chairman Jones recognized the Honorable Judge Westbrook J. Parker.

Judge Parker addressed the Board. He advised that he was requesting that the Board authorize a study with regard to courthouse security. He read in *The Virginian Pilot* this morning that the City of Suffolk was conducting a study with regard to their courthouse as well as their administration building. He stated that the Southampton County Courthouse was the only courthouse within 100 miles that did not have security at the front door. Last year, neighboring jurisdictions recovered 24 guns, 1139 knives, 150 razors, 300 bullets, 88 handcuff keys, 63 teargas canisters, and 274 weapons from persons entering the courthouse, and that was with security at the front door. It was time for Southampton County to take measures to try and make the Southampton County Courthouse as safe as possible for its employees and citizens. He recognized that implementing safety measures would inconvenience people, but the inconvenience would be worth it, and people would get accustomed to the safety procedures. He noted that the Southampton County Sheriff and Commonwealth's Attorney all shared this concern.

Supervisor West stated that a need had been shown and he thought a study was appropriate. The other Supervisors concurred.

Supervisor West moved, seconded by Supervisors Faison and Felts, to direct the County Administrator and Sheriff to develop specific recommendations and cost estimates for improved Courthouse security. All were in favor.

Regarding highway matters, Chairman Jones recognized Mr. Jerry Kee, Assistant Residency Administrator of the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) Franklin Residency.

Mr. Kee advised that they would be replacing the bridge on Flaggy Run Road this week. The road would be closed for the week and everyone had been notified. They would actually be putting a new deck and beams on the bridge at an estimated cost of \$50,000. He informed that he expected to have the asphalt paving schedule by the first week in April – approximately \$500,000 had been set aside for that. He stated that last year they completed a 2-mile paving job on Route 58 from

Southampton High School going east. They were getting ready to start another paving job that would pick up where that left off and head east for 2 miles. They had also awarded a \$1 million concrete repair job that would begin next month. He advised that he was working on putting together a work session for the upcoming Six Year Plan. One of the biggest complaints that VDOT received from citizens was unpaved roads. He was trying to come up with a plan that would address those concerns, among other things. He wanted to share that plan with the Board at a work session.

Vice-Chairman Young asked for an update on Edgehill. Mr. Kee advised that they were going to have to clean out part of the stream. They were waiting for the permit, which was anticipated to take 6 months.

Supervisor West informed that a developer had closed off an outfall ditch on Route 635 in front of the home of Sam and Onycha Bias and it had created some problems. Mr. Kee advised that they were aware of it and they were working with the contractor to try and get it straight. Their (VDOT's) surveyor was looking at it, and they were possibly going to try and get an easement so they could put the ditch back. The contractor should really put it back, but VDOT was trying to take care of it because not only was it causing problems for the Bias's, but it was causing water to back up in the road and creating a safety hazard.

West asked what would the minimal result be? Mr. Kee advised that VDOT would at least put the ditch back where it was. He explained that when the contractor put in the Bias's driveway, they put it right down the middle of the ditch. VDOT never knew anything about it until after it rained and someone called and informed them that water was standing in the road. The contractor put the driveway in without getting a permit from VDOT.

Supervisor West stated that it was a hazard for both the homeowners and people traveling that road. He thanked Mr. Kee for his concern and attention to the problem.

Mr. Kee clarified for Supervisor Brown that regarding the Six Year Plan, he was waiting to receive updated information and figures from Richmond prior to scheduling a work session.

Regarding reports, various reports were received and provided in the agenda. They were Financial, Sheriff's Office, Animal Control, Communication Center Activity Report, Traffic Tickets, Building Inspections, and New Housing Starts. Also Cooperative Extension, Treasurer's Report, Delinquent Tax Collection, EMS and Fire Department Activity, Solid Waste Quantities, and Personnel.

In regards to the personnel report, Mr. Johnson advised that Patricia P. Smith was hired in the Commissioner of the Revenue's Office effective 02/15/08 at an annual salary of \$24,552. Jackie L. Bradshaw was hired in the Commissioner of the Revenue's Office effective 02/15/08 at an annual salary of \$28,422.

Moving to financial matters, Mr. Johnson announced that included in the agenda was a resolution with a total appropriation of \$810,196.85, all of which was related to school programs. Revenue in this amount had been received from the sources indicated and was available for the itemized expenditures upon order of the Board.

The appropriations resolution is as follows:

APPROPRIATIONS - FEBRUARY 25, 2008

NO NEW LOCAL FUNDS

SCHOOL BOARD

(1) Expenditure refunds received--see attached
Letters

(2) E-Rates reimbursement received--see attached

Letter

(3) Donations received--see attached letters

(4) State and Federal grants received--see attached letters

(5) Deferred revenue brought forward from FY 2007--grants and state funds earmarked for different programs--see attached letters

(6) Reimbursements from retirees for health insurance premiums--see attached letters

At a meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County, Virginia on Monday, February 25, 2008

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Southampton County, Virginia that the following appropriations be and hereby are made for the period of July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008 for the function and purpose indicated:

From the General Fund to the School Operating Fund to be expended only on order of the Southampton County School Board:

4-205-61100-3000-002-1-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS-REG	4,015.05
61100-3000-003-5-100	OTHER INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS-OTHER	57.12
61100-5500-003-1-100	TRAVEL (MILEAGE)-REG	1,694.12
61100-6000-002-1-100	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES-REG	0.11
61100-6000-002-5-100	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES-OTHER	5,335.18
61100-6008-003-1-100	PROJECT GRADUATION ACADEMY-STATE	8,280.00
61100-6020-003-1-100	TEXTBOOKS FURNISHED FREE-REG	859.44
61320-6012-002-1-100	LIBRARY BOOKS REG ELEM	1,065.96
61320-6012-003-1-100	LIBRARY BOOKS REG SEC	146.00
62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INC PREMIUMS	15,053.00
62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INC PREMIUMS	11,308.00
62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INC PREMIUMS	10,116.00
62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INC PREMIUMS	1,755.00
62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INC PREMIUMS	502.00
62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INC PREMIUMS	11,308.00
62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INC PREMIUMS	11,285.00
62120-2350	RETIREE HEALTH INC PREMIUMS	12,473.00
63200-6009	VEHICLE & POWERED EQUIP-SUPPLIES	250.00
63200-6009	VEHICLE & POWERED EQUIP-SUPPLIES	2,317.00
64200-5101	HEATING SERVICE-GAS, OIL & COAL	32.40
64300-3320	MAINTENANCE SERVICE CONTRACTS	1,995.96
64300-3320	MAINTENANCE SERVICE CONTRACTS	863.07

February 25, 2008

		TOTAL	100,711.41
HONOR SCHOOL GRANTS, PROGRAM 250			
4-205-61100-6000-003-1-250	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES		2,306.22
		TOTAL	2,306.22
RENTAL TEXTBOOKS, PROGRAM 260			
4-205-61100-6040-002-1-260	TEXTBOOKS		25,611.46
		TOTAL	25,611.46
TECHNOLOGY PLAN, PROGRAM 265			
4-205-61100-8200-002-1-265	CAPITAL OUTLAY-REG		29,558.67
61100-8200-002-1-265	CAPITAL OUTLAY-REG		68,102.79
61100-8250-003-1-265	INTERNET SERVICES		47,531.35
		TOTAL	145,192.81
RACE TO GED, PROGRAM 270			
4-205-61100-1120-003-1-270	INSTRUCTIONAL SAL-REG		17,100.00
61100-2100-003- -270	FICA BENEFITS		1,308.15
61100-5200-003-1-270	COMMUNICATIONS		1,000.00
61100-5500-003-1-270	TRAVEL		3,000.00
61100-6000-003-1-270	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES		4,700.00
61100-6000-003-1-270	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES		18.27
		TOTAL	27,126.42
CAMP FOUNDATION GRANTS, PROGRAM 310			
4-205-61100-6002-002-1-310	CHILD LIT MAT'L & SUP (06/07)		2,031.75
61100-6004-002-1-310	EARLY CHILDREN'S LITERACY		5,150.00
		TOTAL	7,181.75
FRANKLIN SOUTHAMPTON CHARITIES, PROGRAM 320			
4-205-61100-1120-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - MEHERRIN		3,000.00
61100-1121-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - HUNTERDALE		3,000.00
61100-1122-002-1-320	TUTORIAL SALARIES - CAPRON		3,000.00
61100-3001-002-5-320	EDDIE EAGLE GUN SAFETY		450.00
61100-6003-002-1-320	READING CENTER-HUNTERDALE		28,968.64
61100-6004-002-1-320	SUMMER READING PROGRAM		1,564.30
61100-6022-002-1-320	CAPRON LISTENING CENTER		3,589.17
61100-8227-002-1-320	CHILDREN:CEO'S-HUNT 07/08		5,993.00
61100-8228-002-1-320	READ WITH ME-CAPRON 07/08		5,877.00
61100-6041-003-3-320	TECH CENTER EQUIP/SUPPLIES 05/06		10,342.83
61100-6044-003-3-320	TECH CENTER COMPETITION COSTS 05/06		5,959.34
61100-6045-003-3-320	TECH CENTER-STUDENT COMP FEES 07/08		7,000.00
61100-8200-003-3-320	TECH CENTER KIT/PLYGRD EQUIP 05/06		6,742.35
61100-8202-003-3-320	CAPITAL OUTLAY-VO TECH PA SYSTEM		625.16
61100-8204-003-3-320	TECH CNTR TECHNOLOGY LAB EQUIP 05/06		12,899.75
61100-8204-003-3-320	TECH CNTR TECHNOLOGY LAB EQUIP 05/06		1,068.83
61100-8205-003-3-320	CAPITAL OUTLAY/VT SMART BOARD		6.88
61100-8206-003-3-320	CAPITAL OUTLAY SHS BAND 06/07		17,949.00
61100-8211-003-1-320	SECURITY CAMERAS-SMS 07/08		50,000.00

February 25, 2008

61100-8212-003-1-320	SECURITY CAMERAS BUSES 07/08	45,000.00
61100-8213-003-1-320	HEART-BASED PHYS ED-SHS	28,000.00
61100-8214-003-3-320	COPIER/CAMCORDER & TRIPOD-T/C	3,000.00
61100-8225-003-1-320	BROADCAST DIST SYS-SMS 07/08	25,000.00
61100-8226-003-1-320	CALCULATING THE FUTURE-SHS 07/08	19,525.00
61320-6015-002-1-320	HUNTERDALE CLASSROOM LIBRARIES 06/07	1,229.10
	TOTAL	<u>289,790.35</u>
INTERNATIONAL PAPER GRANTS, PROGRAM 330		
4-205-61100-6004-002-1-330	HUNT SOL SCIENCE KITS 07/08	1,500.00
61100-6007-002-1-330	AR BOOKS CAPRON LIBRARY 07/08	1,500.00
	TOTAL	<u>3,000.00</u>
HUNTERDALE FAMILY PRESERVATION, PROGRAM 350		
4-205-61100-6000-002-1-350	MATERIAL & SUPPLIES-REG	537.83
	TOTAL	<u>537.83</u>
MENTOR PROGRAM PROJECT, PROGRAM 425		
4-205-61100-1620-003-1-425	SUPPLEMENTAL SALARIES-REG	13,842.88
61100-2100-003- -425	FICA	1,305.31
61100-6000-003-1-425	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES-REG	2,227.61
61100-8200-003-1-425	CAPITAL OUTLAY ADD'L EQUIP-REG	96.00
	TOTAL	<u>17,471.80</u>
TITLE I ELEMENTARY INSTRUCTION, PROGRAM 500		
4-205-61100-1620-002-1-500	SUPPLEMENTAL SALARY-REG	38,787.75
61310-3000-002-1-500	IN SERVICE-REG	65.12
	TOTAL	<u>38,852.87</u>
TITLE VIB SP ED-FLOW THROUGH, PROGRAM 550		
4-205-61100-1120-002-2-550	INSTRUCTIONAL SALARIES-SP	6,818.00
	TOTAL	<u>6,818.00</u>
21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LRN CNTR, PROGRAM 560		
4-205-61310-3000-002-1-560	PURCHASED SERVICE	112.00
	TOTAL	<u>112.00</u>
TITLE V, INNOVATIVE EDUCATION, PROGRAM 600		
4-205-61100-6000-002-1-600	INSTRUCTIONAL & EDU MAT'L-REG	225.86
61100-6000-003-1-600	INSTRUCTIONAL & EDU MAT'L-REG	(187.95)
	TOTAL	<u>37.91</u>
TITLE IID ED TECH, PROGRAM 630		
4-205-61100-3000-003-1-630	PURCHASE SERVICES	5,446.02
		<u>5,446.02</u>

		TOTAL	5,446.02
OPPORTUNITY INC, PROGRAM 850			
4-205-61210-1120-003-3-850	GUIDANCE SERVICES SAL-VOC		87,146.00
61210-2100-003- -850	FICA BENEFITS		6,500.00
61210-2210-003- -850	VRS RET-PROF		14,850.00
61210-2214-003- -850	VRS GROUP LIFE		1,000.00
61210-2600-003- -850	VEC		30.00
61210-3000-003-3-850	PURCHASED SERVICES		500.00
61210-4000-003-3-850	INTERNAL SERVICES		1,000.00
61210-5200-003-3-850	COMMUNICATIONS		1,250.00
61210-5500-003-3-850	TRAVEL		1,250.00
61210-6000-003-3-850	INSTRUCTIONAL & EDU MATERIALS		5,500.00
64200-1190- - -850	SERVICE SALARIES		20,974.00
		TOTAL	140,000.00
			=====
	TOTAL SCHOOL FUND		810,196.85

REVENUE APPROPRIATION FEBRUARY 2008
 (REVENUE RECEIVED FOR ABOVE EXPENDITURES)

SCHOOL FUND		
3-205-18990-0032	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	15,053.00
3-205-18990-0032	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	11,308.00
3-205-18990-0032	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	10,116.00
3-205-18990-0032	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	1,755.00
3-205-18990-0032	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	2,819.00
3-205-18990-0032	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	11,308.00
3-205-18990-0032	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	11,285.00
3-205-18990-0032	INSURANCE CLAIMS & DIVIDENDS	12,473.00
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	250.00
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	65.12
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	146.00
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	1,995.96
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	17,719.75
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	1,065.96
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	863.07
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	859.44
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	0.11
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	1,694.12
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	68,102.79
3-205-18990-0100	EXPENDITURE REFUNDS	112.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	3,000.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	63,395.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	537.83
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	5,150.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	135,000.00
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	2,031.75
3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	1,068.83

3-205-18990-0101	DONATIONS	90,326.52
3-205-18990-0200	E-RATES REFUND	47,531.35
3-205-18990-0200	E-RATES REFUND	29,558.67
3-205-24020-0915	MENTOR TEACHER PROGRAM	17,471.80
3-205-25020-0140	RENTAL TEXTBOOKS-260	25,611.46
3-205-25020-0475	HONOR SCHOOL GRANT - 250	2,306.22
3-205-25020-0480	RACE TO GED/PROG - 270	18.27
3-205-25020-0480	RACE TO GED/PROG - 270	27,108.15
3-205-33020-0020	TITLE I - 500	38,787.75
3-205-33020-0030	TITLE V INNOV EDU - 600	37.91
3-205-33020-0190	TITLE VIB-FLOW THROUGH - 550	6,818.00
3-205-33020-0350	TITLE IID ED TECH/P 630/TEDT	5,446.02
3-205-33020-0360	OPPORTUNITY INC-850	140,000.00
		=====
	REVENUE SCHOOL FUND	TOTAL 810,196.85

A copy teste: _____, Clerk
 Michael W. Johnson

Vice-Chairman Young moved, seconded by Supervisor Felts, to adopt the appropriations resolution. All were in favor.

Mr. Johnson advised that bills in the amount of \$2,797,890.93 were received.

Vice-Chairman Young moved, seconded by Supervisor West, that the bills in the amount of \$2,797,890.93 be paid with check numbers 85512 through 85977. All were in favor of the motion.

Moving to appointments, Mr. Johnson announced that as discussed last month, Mr. Jim Bradshaw resigned his appointment to the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA) after accepting a position with Brunswick County, NC as their Economic Development Director. Supervisor Felts was seeking a nominee for recommendation to the Circuit Court for appointment. Mr. Bradshaw’s term would expire September 30, 2010.

Supervisor Felts informed that she had spoken with someone, and hoped she would be ready to submit a name next month.

Mr. Johnson advised that as briefly discussed last month, Ms. Johnnie D. Bradley had resigned her position on the County Board of Social Services. Her term was set to expire June 30, 2008. Supervisor Jones was seeking a successor to present for consideration.

Chairman Jones submitted the name of Rosa S. Jones.

Supervisor Wyche moved, seconded by Vice-Chairman Young, to appoint Rosa S. Jones to serve the remaining unexpired term of Ms. Johnnie D. Bradley on the County Board of Social Services. All were in favor.

Moving forward, Mr. Johnson announced that included in the agenda was correspondence from the Virginia Housing Development Authority (VHDA) regarding prospective plans to rehabilitate the Stevens Woods Apartments in Courtland. As they may be aware, Stevens Woods was located just off of Main Street on the north end of town, and consisted of 120 apartments and office and laundry buildings. The owners (DNG Properties LP, Stevens Woods I Limited Partnership) proposed to rehabilitate the property with more than \$1.6 million in new investment. He advised that the feasibility of the project may hinge on qualification for low-income housing credits, which would entitle the owner to a credit against their state and federal income taxes for the first five taxable years following the improvements. The low-income housing credit was authorized by Virginia statute, § 36-55.63, *Code of Virginia*. To assist with their application to VHDA for

allocation of the tax credits, the owners had requested a brief letter of local support for the project. A sample letter was included in the agenda and merely stated that their project would help to meet the housing needs and priorities of Southampton County. One hundred percent of the affected units were designated for low income residents.

Supervisor West confirmed with Mr. Johnson that the tax credits would come from the state – Southampton County would not be giving any tax breaks with regard to this project.

Supervisor Faison stated that low-income housing was important to Southampton County.

Vice-Chairman Young moved, seconded by Supervisor West, to support the project and allocation of low income housing tax credits. All were in favor.

Moving forward, Mr. Johnson announced that Supervisor Young recently advised him that he had been contacted by representatives from the Fair Foundation Board regarding the condition of the livestock weighing scales at the Agri-Civic Center (Fairgrounds). From what he understood, the scales were no longer capable of meeting state certification requirements, effectively rendering the facility useless for livestock sales and auctions. He advised that the property was owned by Southampton County but was leased to the Franklin/Southampton Fair Foundation for 99 years beginning in 1999. The terms of the lease provided that the Fair Board was responsible for maintaining the property, including the livestock scales (paragraph 8). The Fair Board had obtained two estimates for replacement scales - the lowest was \$6,880. He stated that it was his understanding that they had inquired about the Board of Supervisors' willingness to participate in the expense for new scales. This was relevant because the lease further provided that if the livestock center ceased to operate as a commercial market for more than 12 months (which it would if replacement scales were not installed), the County may, in its discretion, with 30 days notice, relieve the Fair Board of its rights and obligations as they related to the livestock center and reassume responsibility for the facility (including the rents and costs). Accordingly, Supervisor Young had asked that the matter be placed on the agenda for discussion. As they knew, the Fair Board had had a difficult time leasing the facility over the past 5 years.

Vice-Chairman Young stated that the scales were no longer legal and he thought the Board of Supervisors needed to be aware of it.

Supervisor West advised that there was a need for Southampton County residents to be able to sell livestock locally, and he did not think the Board of Supervisors wanted to get into managing the livestock center again.

Supervisor Brown stated that the Board of Supervisors needed to assist with the cost of new scales. The use of the facility would likely increase if the scales were replaced. They needed to support the local farmers.

Supervisor Faison agreed with Supervisor Brown.

Supervisor Brown asked if the Board of Supervisors would assume the entire cost or a portion?

Supervisor West recommended that the Board of Supervisors pay 50% of the cost. The other Supervisors concurred.

Proceeding to the public hearings, Mr. Johnson announced that first and only public hearing was to consider the following:

CUP 2008:01 Application filed by Lloyd A. Jones on behalf of Sylvester Warren, owner, requesting a conditional use permit for a borrow pit pursuant to Section 18-37 (22) of the Southampton County Code in order to extract sand from approximately 29 acres of a 101 acre parcel. The property is zoned A-1, Agricultural and is located on the west side of Saints Luke Road (Rt. 633), approximately 1 mile west of the intersection with Ivor Road (Rt. 616). The property is further identified as a portion of Tax Parcel 32-33 and is located in the Capron Voting District and Berlin & Ivor Magisterial District.

Mr. Johnson advised that Mr. Randolph was sick and was not present this morning. As a result, he would provide the introductory remarks on behalf of the Planning Commission. He stated that the Planning Commission held a public hearing on this application at its January 10, 2008 meeting, and after discussion, recommended approval on a vote of 8-0, subject to the following conditions:

- Full compliance with the operations, drainage and reclamation plan dated November 2007 as submitted with the application
- Hours of operation to be from 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM
- Days of operation to be Monday through Friday
- Mining area limited to 29.5 acres as designated on the site plan dated October 2, 2007
- Only one entrance/exit permitted as shown on the site plan dated October 2, 2007
- Mining operation to maintain a 100 foot buffer from the limits of the stream located on the western edge of the parcel and the rear boundary of the parcel
- Conditional use permit from Southampton County was contingent upon compliance with all regulations associated with the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy permit
- Conditional use permit to expire 5 years from the date of approval by the Board of Supervisors

Chairman Jones opened the public hearing.

Mr. John McNamara spoke. He advised that he lived right next door to the proposed borrow pit. When they logged some of the property a while back, there were a lot of problems with noise and dust, and dust got into his house. This was especially a problem because his wife had some health issues and was on oxygen. The pit would be quite a distance from the Warrens (owners). He wondered why they had to get so close to his property. He commented that he thought the County wanted to preserve as much farmland as possible.

Supervisor West stated that Mr. McNamara had valid concerns. Perhaps requiring berms taller than 3 foot would be appropriate. The state required a 3 foot berm to protect the stream, not the residents.

Supervisor Brown asked if the open land was being farmed? Mr. McNamara replied no, not now.

Supervisor Brown stated that the applicant would be required by the state to have buffers, etc. Supervisor West stated yes, but that was after the fact.

Mr. McNamara clarified that there used to be a lot of trees behind his property, but most of them were knocked down during the storm.

Supervisor West commented that if they planned to dig down 40 feet, that would definitely be a very big hole. He had some concerns.

Mr. Lloyd Jones, applicant, spoke. He advised that they wanted to make sure that neighbor concerns were taken care of. They did not take this lightly. He clarified that the starting point of the borrow pit was on the other end of the property away from Mr. McNamara's house. They had no problem increasing the height of the berms. The Planning Commission had outlined 8 recommended requirements and they were working with Mr. (Lee) Copeland on erosion and sediment control. The state also had very stringent regulations that they must follow. He explained that when Mr. Warren began logging the property, he did not realize there were certain regulations that he must comply with. Mr. Warren received a notice from the County that all activity must stop until he complied with the regulations. Mr. Warren ceased activity and was in the process of trying to get into compliance. That was why the stumps were still on the property. Mr. Jones acknowledged that there would be a very big hole on the property, but it would have to be fenced and locked per state requirements. He clarified that the entire 100 acres was not suitable for mining – they had identified the areas most suitable.

Supervisor West asked Mr. Jones what height they were willing to increase the berms? Mr. Jones replied that he would make the berms 10 ft. tall x 20 ft. wide and would put vegetation on top.

Supervisor Faison asked about dust control. Mr. Jones advised that they talked about that at the Planning Commission meeting and he indicated that they would be willing to water down the property to help control the dust.

Supervisor Brown asked, why 29 acres? Mr. Jones replied that they did not have to do the whole 29 acres. They would be willing to back off 5 acres from Mr. McNamara's property, and then come back at a later date and request the 5 acres if they needed it.

Supervisor West confirmed with Mr. McNamara that that was acceptable.

Mr. Hunter Darden spoke. He advised that he had a borrow pit and he knew Mr. Warren, owner. He just wanted to share a few things that might make the Board feel better about this application. The owner would be required by the state to put up \$1,000/acre as surety. The state was very restrictive regarding offsite drainage. They would make monthly unannounced inspections of the borrow pit. They would also require the owner to water down the property with a water truck to keep the dust down. The tall berms would help drown out noise. Mr. McNamara could voice any concerns to the state. This was not something where once the County issued the permit, it was forgotten. He stated that he did not want the County to get so restrictive on mining operations.

Supervisor Wyche advised that the applicant was willing to do what he could to take care of any potential problems.

Supervisor Wyche made a motion to approve the conditional use permit, subject to the conditions recommended by the Planning Commission, and changing the condition of 3 foot berms to 10 ft. tall x 20 ft. wide berms, and adding the condition that the applicant back off 5 acres from Mr. McNamara's property. Supervisor West seconded the motion. All were in favor.

Moving forward, Mr. Johnson announced that he had asked our financial advisors, Davenport & Company, to develop a presentation to illustrate our overall fiscal health based upon the FY 2007 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report that the Board received and accepted from our auditors in December.

Chairman Jones recognized Mr. Courtney Rogers of Davenport & Company.

Mr. Rogers presented the Board with copies of a report entitled "Presentation to Southampton County, Virginia, A Financial Perspective, February 25, 2008." Davenport & Company compared our overall economic/financial profile with the profiles of comparable Virginia counties, and evaluated our historic and projected financial trends. Ultimately, Davenport provided the following observations:

- Following the successful initial "A" rating from Standard & Poor's in 2006, the County had continued with strong financial results:
 - Positive Revenues over Expenditures
 - Increase in Fund Balance
- Looking to the future, assuming Utilities were still not self-sufficient, they had added in the projected Utility project debt (new WWTP). With the strong 2007 reassessment of property, the Debt to Assessed Value ration remained competitive. Debt Service as a percentage of Expenditures was at the typical "A" range credit guidelines.
- To remain in the "A" range category, the County would need to work toward self-sufficiency in the Utility Enterprise Fund or hold off on future large capital borrowings after this project (new WWTP).

The Board thanked Mr. Rogers for the presentation.

Proceeding to the citizen request to address the Board, Mr. Johnson announced that included in the agenda was correspondence from the Hon. Spier Edwards, Mayor of Boykins, seeking time on the

agenda to discuss the potential use of county personnel to enforce the Building Maintenance Code, if adopted by the Boykins Town Council. He reminded that he first presented the matter to the Board at their August meeting, minutes of which were included in the agenda.

Mr. Johnson advised, for their reference, that the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code (VUSBC) was comprised of three parts:

Part 1 - New Construction Code – enforcement was mandatory and governed all new construction and construction trades (electrical, plumbing, HVAC, etc.);

Part 2 - Rehabilitation Code - used as an acceptable alternative to the new construction code when rehabilitating vacant, substandard or unsafe residential or commercial structures - often used for historic buildings; and

Part 3 - Maintenance Code - enforcement was optional and was intended to protect occupants and neighbors of existing buildings from health and safety hazards arising from improper maintenance and use of the structure.

He stated that Southampton County had enforced Part 1 of the VUSBC since the mid-1970's. By mutual agreement, the County also assumed enforcement responsibilities for Part 1 in each of the 6 incorporated towns at that time. The towns did not pay for this service directly, but their residents paid the required permit fees to the county, presumably covering the cost of service. Southampton County had never adopted or enforced Part 3 in any town or unincorporated areas. Enforcement of Part 1 was one of many functions of the Office of Community Development. That department was also responsible for issuance of all permits, erosion and sediment control, subdivision plat review, zoning administration, and enforcement of certain miscellaneous offenses (high weeds, junk cars, etc.) They were also beginning to assume a greater role in overall planning and stormwater management. The Department included a total personnel complement of 4. None were presently certified as a Property Maintenance & Housing Inspector, which was a prerequisite to enforcement. To become certified, the Code Official and Inspector must both complete the Property Maintenance Inspection course and successfully pass the Property Maintenance & Housing Inspector certification exam. He noted that given the current workload, additional responsibilities would require additional personnel.

Mr. Johnson advised that Mayor Edwards, along with several other Mayors, were here this morning.

Chairman Jones recognized the Hon. Spier Edwards, Mayor of Boykins.

Mayor Edwards thanked the Board for the opportunity to speak. He was requesting that they allow the Southampton County Building Inspector to enforce the Building Maintenance Code (Part 3), on an as-needed basis, in the Towns. All of the Mayors had met and deemed this an important issue, but they needed help from the County. There were blighted buildings in each of the Towns. More money would be generated from real estate revenues if the buildings were in good condition. Also, revitalizing the business area would encourage more business and people to move into the area. The County could send one of its inspectors to school for a few days to become certified. The inspection fee could be assessed on the Towns. If the County would need to hire an inspector, the Towns could pay a pro-rata share of that inspector's salary. The Towns were asking for this to try and prevent buildings from being blighted. What would they do if they lived next to a dilapidated building? The Towns were the backbone of the County and enforcement of Part 3 was needed to protect the citizens.

Supervisor Faison asked how would buildings needing to be inspected be brought to the attention of the inspector? Mayor Edwards replied, through complaints. Mr. Johnson, County Administrator, stated that the tenants of rental property were the biggest source of complaints.

Mayor Edwards stated that if the County would at least inspect rental property, that would be a tremendous help.

Mayor Edwards clarified for Supervisor Felts that there were about 10 buildings and/or homes in

Boykins that were severely blighted. But there was not much they could do about those except send citations and board the windows. What they were asking for today would help to prevent other buildings from becoming blighted. There were about 10 houses in Boykins right now that needed to be inspected to prevent them from becoming blighted.

Mayor Edwards clarified for Vice-Chairman Young that he had looked into demolishing some of the structures, but you could not demolish a structure unless it was unsafe. If it was not unsafe, there was nothing you could do. The building that Mr. Barnett and Attorney Railey had demolished was unsafe.

Supervisor Brown stated that the bottom line was that there would be a cost involved in adopting Part 3, and the County would have to hire another inspector.

Mr. Johnson explained that if the County adopted Part 3, the building official was the only person, according to the code, that could bring charges against someone. An inspector could not inspect the property and then turn it over to the Town. He pointed out that once they adopted Part 3, they would be responsible for responding to each and every call.

Attorney Railey acknowledged that blighted buildings were a problem, but he did not think that adding an inspector to enforce Part 3 would accomplish anything. When the inspector sends the owner of the property a letter, the owner would throw the letter in the trash, just as they were doing now to letters from the Towns. Demolishing the property was the best avenue.

Mr. Robert Barnett, Director of Community Development, advised that a person would have to be sent to school for a week and it was very expensive. He pointed out that in enforcing Part 1 of the Code, fees were generated from new construction. Part 3 would not generate money. He enforced Part 3 of the Code in the City of Suffolk for 16 years. It was very involved, and as Mr. Johnson mentioned, they would be responsible for responding to each and every call.

Chairman Jones suggested that the Mayors, Mr. Johnson, Mr. Barnett, and Attorney Railey meet to try and come up with a solution.

Mr. Mike McManus spoke. He advised that he was representing the *Boykins Main Street* initiative. He passed out brochures. His organization worked with the state to bring back dying towns. He noted that he represented the commercial part. This program was a money maker for the County. He bought a house in the Town of Boykins assessed at \$45,000 - it was now assessed at \$197,000. When owners of buildings in poor condition were ordered to Court, if hardship was the reason why the buildings were in poor condition, the judge often referred the persons to a program such as *Boykins Main Street* where volunteers would help to fix up the buildings. He noted that when he fixed up his home, the people next to him starting fixing up their home. He stated that he could not even get insurance on his home until recently because of all the blighted properties around him. Blighted property kept people from investing in the area and created crime.

Mr. McManus clarified for Supervisor Faison that his organization had tried to contact people outside of the court environment with not much success. His organization had about 30 active members. They were trying to help people and protect the history of Boykins. He asked that the Board at least consider inspecting rental property.

Supervisor Brown stated that he could see where this could be a positive return on investment. He understood that they would need to hire another inspector, but this person could force the owners of these properties into Court.

Mr. Keith Joyner, Mayor of Ivor, and Mr. Arthur Harris, Mayor of Branchville, indicated that they had problems with blighted buildings in their respective Towns and would appreciate the County's assistance. Mayor Harris advised that only 1 blighted building in 20 years had been voluntarily removed in the Town of Branchville. Mayor Joyner indicated that the problems in Ivor existed primarily on Main Street. They would like to have something done prior to the Town of Ivor's upcoming 100th anniversary as an incorporated town.

Supervisor West stated that the Board needed to support this. They needed to show that they cared about the County and the aesthetics of the County. They needed to look at getting someone on Board to enforce Part 3 and look at it during the upcoming budget session.

Supervisor Brown agreed with Supervisor West.

Chairman Jones advised that he still thought the Mayors should meet with Mr. Barnett, Mr. Johnson, and Attorney Railey.

Supervisor West stated that they needed to look to Mr. Johnson and Attorney Railey for advice, but this was a plea from the Mayors. This was important – buildings falling down was a safety issue.

Mr. Johnson explained the process – they needed to know the costs (he could provide estimates next month), the Board needed to commit the funding, and then the County as well as the Towns needed to adopt Part 3. A public hearing process would be necessary.

It was consensus of the Board that this was something they were interested in pursuing.

Supervisor Faison moved, seconded by Supervisor Wyche, to have Mr. Johnson provide cost estimates next month. All were in favor.

Regarding miscellaneous issues, Mr. Johnson announced that included in the agenda was correspondence from the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries regarding a set of model firearms ordinances passed by their Board in October 2007. These model ordinances were developed in response to HB 2308 in the 2007 session – localities had the option of adopting any or all of them. He was open to their direction in this regard.

Attorney Railey advised that our ordinance was almost on point with the second model ordinance. As a result, he did not see the need to open up anything and adopt any of the model ordinances. He noted that localities were not bound to adopt any of the model ordinances. When first introduced in the General Assembly, the language was such that localities had to adopt one of the model ordinances. It was met with such resistance, as most counties wanted their own ordinances, that the language was changed to give localities the option to adopt one of the model ordinances.

It was consensus of the Board to keep with our own ordinance and to NOT adopt one of the model ordinances.

Mr. Johnson advised that as briefly shared last month, VACo would facilitate a regional meeting for Region 1 localities on Monday, March 31, 2008 from 6:00 PM to 8:00 PM at the Airfield Conference Center in Wakefield. A buffet dinner would be available. All Board members indicated that they planned to attend.

Mr. Johnson informed that included in the agenda was a copy of the 2007 Planning and Land Use Summary Report that was distributed as a handout at their January 15 mini-retreat.

Mr. Johnson stated that included in the agenda was a copy of a draft nomination submitted to the Virginia Department of Historic Resources (VDHR) petitioning the addition of the Mahone Tavern to the National Register of Historic Places and the Virginia Landmarks Register. The Mahone Tavern was located in Courtland, across the street from the Southampton Courthouse and Jail, and was constructed in 1796. The following year, it began to function as an “ordinary,” or in modern terms, an inn and tavern. One of the early proprietors was Fielding Mahone, father of Confederate General William Mahone, and the tavern served as the General’s boyhood home in the 1840’s. VDHR intended to present the nomination to the Virginia State Review Board and the Virginia Board of Historic Resources on March 20, and had provided the County an opportunity for advance review and comment, if it so desired.

Supervisor Brown advised that the history of the Mahone Tavern involved the Civil War and ethnic heritage other than black. The writers left that out, but it could certainly add strength to the application.

Vice-Chairman Young moved, seconded by Supervisor Felts, to endorse the nomination of the Mahone Tavern. All were in favor.

Mr. Johnson advised that the Virginia Local Government Managers Association (VGMA) and Virginia Tech had partnered to develop a master's level certificate program aimed specifically at local government employees who wanted to obtain college credit for coursework that emphasized local government rather than the typical master's courses that focused on federal and state government management theory. The first courses were expected to be offered in the fall of 2008. In order to persuade Virginia Tech that there were enough potential students (and accompanying tuition payments), VLGMA was negotiating a contract that would guarantee a minimum number of students. Accordingly, VLGMA was requesting interested local governments to set aside funding in their FY 2009 budgets for this purpose. The cost per student per certificate (12 credit hours) was \$6,800. Students would have the flexibility of taking 1 or 2 courses per semester, thus completing the program in 1 or 2 years. The courses would be offered via video-teleconferencing from their Richmond and Hampton Roads center. They were seeking a commitment no later than March 1, in multiples of \$6,800.

Mr. Johnson clarified that if we did not have people interested, we would not pay anything. If the Board was so inclined, funding for this program would be included starting with the FY 2009 budget.

Supervisor Wyche moved, seconded by Supervisor Brown, to commit \$6,800 to the program over each of the next 2 years and offer 2 county employees (to be chosen competitively) an opportunity to participate. All were in favor.

Continuing with miscellaneous issues, Mr. Johnson informed that included in the agenda was an advance copy of a conceptual layout of the proposed Villages of Southampton, which would be the subject of a public hearing next month. As presently drafted, the layout included 112 – 20,000 s.f. lots, 283 – 10,000 s.f. lots, 143 townhomes, 180 apartments, 256 age-restricted residential units, a 100-bed convalescent facility, a professional office park and 19.2 acres of commercial area.

Mr. Johnson reported that included in the agenda were copies of the following public notices:

- 1) From the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, notice of receipt of an application for modification of the VPDES permit for the Courtland and Environs Wastewater Treatment Plant – following publication of its official notice, the application is subject to a 30-day public comment period;
- 2) From the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, notice of a draft groundwater withdrawal permit for U.S. Home Corporation to withdraw up to 16,336 gallons per day from the Chickahominy-Piney Point Aquifer in James City County;
- 3) From the Virginia Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, copy of a notice of violation issued to the operator of the Nottoway Shores waterworks for failing to collect the required nitrate, nitrite and cyanide samples for calendar year 2007;
- 4) From the Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, copy of a notice of violation issued to the proprietor of Miss Annie's Kitchen for failing to collect the required bacteriological samples for the 4th quarter of 2007;
- 5) From the Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, copy of a notice of violation issued to the proprietor of the 460 Hwy. Café for failing to collect the required nitrate/nitrite sample for calendar year 2007;
- 6) From the Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, copy of a notice of violation issued to the Town of Courtland for exceeding the primary maximum contaminant level (PMCL) for fluoride in calendar year 2007;
- 7) From the Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, copy of a notice of violation issued to the Town of Capron for exceeding the primary maximum contaminant level (PMCL) for total coliform bacteria in January 2008;
- 8) From the Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, copy of a notice of violation issued to the Town of Capron for exceeding the primary maximum

- 9) contaminant level (PMCL) for total coliform bacteria in December 2007;
From the Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, copy of a notice of violation issued to the Town of Courtland for exceeding the primary maximum contaminant level (PMCL) for total coliform bacteria in December 2007;
- 10) From the Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, copy of a notice of violation issued to the proprietor of Jan's Country Cooking & Catering for failing to collect the required bacteriological sample for the 4th quarter of 2007;
- 11) From the Department of Health, Office of Drinking Water, correspondence to the Town of Courtland approving plans and specifications to construct two new wells.

Mr. Johnson stated that included in the agenda were copies of the following incoming correspondence:

- 1) From the Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development, correspondence regarding a planning grant and needs assessment for the former Ivor Elementary School;
- 2) From Ernest Claud, Jr., Chairman of Franklin-Southampton Futures, regarding their intention to begin attending public meetings to gain a better understanding of the working and activities in the region;
- 3) From Deborah A. Owen, a resident of Trinity Church Road, regarding her opposition to the proposed outlying landing field (OLF);
- 4) From Mr. Charles E. Turner, regarding our request to defer acceptance of state literary loan funds for Riverdale Elementary School until after April 1, 2009, which is the date that construction loan proceeds become prepayable;
- 5) From Dr. Patsy Joyner, Vice President of Institutional Advancement at Paul D. Camp Community College, thanking the Board for their \$3,000 contribution in FY 2008;
- 6) From John Carlock, Deputy Executive Director at the HRPDC, expressing his willingness to participate on our recently-formed Stormwater Ordinance Steering Committee; and
- 7) From Sheryl Raulston, Environmental Affairs Manager at International Paper, notifying us of a recent modification to their post-closure care permit as it relates to groundwater monitoring at their company landfill.

Mr. Johnson advised that outgoing correspondence and articles of interest were also included in the agenda.

Moving to late arriving matters, Mr. Johnson announced that we received a letter from Department of Conservation and Recreation informing that Southampton County's Erosion and Sediment Control Program was now fully consistent with the requirements of the Virginia Erosion and Sediment Control Law and Regulations.

Mr. Johnson advised that included under separate cover with the agenda, for their reference, was a copy of a report published by the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission (HRPDC) on behalf of VDOT entitled, "Hampton Roads Rural Long Range Transportation Plan Phase 1 Report: Goals and Data Collection." The Rural Long Range Plan (RLRP) was a new program instituted by VDOT aimed at creating regional transportation plans in rural areas across Virginia to complement planning efforts in the metropolitan areas of the state. VDOT planned to use the RLRP as a foundation for identifying transportation priorities for the Six-Year Improvement Program as well as in the statewide transportation planning process.

Chairman Jones asked if there was anything else to come before this Board?

Supervisor West advised that if they had not already, the Board members should be receiving a large number of letters from constituents opposed to Camp Oasis.

Supervisor West advised that he had recently been asked to serve as a liaison between the Board of Supervisors and a recently-formed "No OLF" organization.

Supervisor Brown cautioned Supervisor West to be very careful not to overstep his boundaries. He pointed out that the proposed OLFs were in the districts of Chairman Jones and Supervisor Wyche.

Chairman Jones and Supervisor Wyche indicated that they did not have a problem with Supervisor West being a part of the organization.

Chairman Jones announced that it was necessary for the Board to conduct a closed meeting in accordance with the provisions set out in the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, for the following purposes:

Section 2.2-3711 (A) (5) Discussion concerning prospective industries where no previous announcement has been made of the business' or industry's interest in locating its facilities in the community;

Section 2.2-3711 (A) (7) Consultation with legal counsel and briefings by staff members requiring the provision of legal advice regarding and related to the potential siting of an outlying landing field.

Section 2.2-3711 (A) (3) Discussion and consideration of the acquisition of real property for construction of a sewer pumping station where discussion in an open session would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body;

Supervisor Wyche moved, seconded by Vice-Chairman Young, to conduct a closed meeting for the purposes previously read.

Richard Railey, County Attorney, Julia Williams, Finance Director, Julien Johnson, Public Utilities Director, and John Smolak, President of Franklin-Southampton Economic Development, Inc. were also present in the closed meeting.

Upon returning to open session, Vice-Chairman Young moved, seconded by Supervisor West, to adopt the following resolution:

RESOLUTION OF CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the Southampton County Board of Supervisors had convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 (D) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Southampton County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed and considered by the Southampton County Board of Supervisors.

**Supervisors Voting Aye: Dallas O. Jones
Walter L. Young, Jr.
Walter D. Brown, III
Carl J. Faison**

February 25, 2008

Anita T. Felts
Ronald M. West
Moses Wyche

The motion passed unanimously.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:50 PM.

Dallas O. Jones, Chairman

Michael W. Johnson, Clerk